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## A Bridge Too Far

Repertoire books have so completely taken over the openings field that other approaches have become virtually extinct．In many ways this is excellent for reader and author alike：authors may evade the difficulties of tilling well－trodden ground by choosing a different repertoire， while readers gain a diverse set of viewpoints and much greater depth for each covered line．Certainly we live in a golden era for the Winawer，with a succession of books by Moskalenko，Williams，Vitiugov and Watson in the past three years alone，and another from Berg about to go to the printers．
Great as the gains are，though，something is also lost：many interesting lines fall though the cracks and are rarely covered．One such is a side line that be－ come popular in Argentina after the war，in which Black tries an unusual de－ velopment plan．It seems a bridge too far－but even in such minor lines the－ ory continues to evolve，and the reasons are not the ones usually given．

## Canoba－Eliskases

San Nicolás 1957 （8）
dFV pp．17－18（Schwar：，citing Eliskases （from Deutsche Schachreitung 1963？））
1 e 4 e 62 d 4 d 53 亿c3 b4 4 e 5 c 55



| $10 \ldots$ | dxc3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | f4 | b6？！（1） |

Black plans ．．． $\mathrm{D}^{26}$ and ．．．\＆d7． ＇Positionally well motivated but too slow＇ Moles MLW pp．35－36：a good summary．


| 12 \％d4 | Q 26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 13 且e3？ | 昷xf1 |
| 14 ciegx | （i）d7 |
| 15 亿b 5 ？ |  |



## 17 ）f2？

Usually given＇？＇．Eliskases thought both 17 d4 and 17 ghed f2 led to equality， but 17 d4？！
 §xd3 $22 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{c} 8 \mp$ also leaves White in



```
17 ...
M M \(\mathrm{H} 44!!?(2)\)
```



18 \＆ $\mathrm{B} 7+$ ？！
Better 18 g3！first．Eliskases planned 18 ．．．Mxe5，with main line 19 管e1 Mf6 20 合c7＋（the （22 $x<5$ ？© $x g 3+$ mates quickly； 22
 M 4.65 ？气 $d 4 \mp+22 \ldots$ ． $\ldots$ ．． compensation for the sacrificed material＇． After 23 g 4 ！，though，it＇s about equal，e．g．
 ¿xg3 26 hxg 3 or $23 \ldots$ §h4 24 Myd4欮f3 $25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g} 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{h} 3+$ with a perpetual．
 Mxff5！allows White to escape to an ending that＇s only slightly worse．
Instead computers prefer $18 \ldots$ ．．．

 घ c8 to follow，or 20 © xd5＋exd5 21
 prospects against White＇s weak pawns．
18 ．．．
붑e7
19 各xa8？

Again better 19 g3；then Black has the extra option $19 \ldots$ हie $3+$ ？？ 20 썽xe3（20
 $20 \ldots$ ．썽c $4+$ and $21 \ldots$ ．썽xc7干．
$19 \ldots$ \＆
20 쌍 xc3？
Here 20 g3（the last chance）leaves Black with some work to do after $20 \ldots$
号xa8干／干耳．The text loses quickly．

| 20 | d4！ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | \％ext6 |
| 22 管g1 | 凧 c ！ |

Usually given＇！！＇．Direct and spectacular but not strictly necessary：any reasonable move suffices．Indeed Black could pass and still have a winning position．

## 23 Mxc8 <br> © g 4

And mate cannot be avoided．The fin－ ish was 24 贸d8＋ 26 西d3 号e5＋0－1．
Canoba or Canobra？：Schwarz，Moles，Minev and van der Tak（see below）all give White as＇Canoba＇， but ChessBase．com＇s Big Database 2012，with all games from the tournament，gives＇（Juvenal）Cano－ bra＇，also given by McDonald（see below）．
The tournament bulletin $S N 57$ p． 13 specifies ＇Carlos Canoba＇．

Theory had long considered the varia－ tion practically refuted based on an early win by Ivkov and analysis by Eliskases， but a flurry of analysis in 2004－6，from McDonald，van der Tak，and Khalifman， gave conflicting recommendations． Which is correct？We turn to further practice for an answer．
The increased interest may have been prompted by a game featuring a line
considered critical since Eliskases＇origi－ nal analysis（1963？）．

## Shaw－Levitt <br> 4NCL，West Bromwich 2004

（from（1））
12 \＆$g 3$ ？！
＇！Moles，Khalifman，and long consid－ ered strongest：the knight heads for f 6 ． But this solution is itself slow．

| 12 | ．．． | \＆d7？ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | © H 5 | Q $\mathrm{D}^{\text {b }}$ ？ |

The stem game Ivkov－Rossetto，Bel－ grade 1962，continued $13 \ldots$ ，f8 14

 sive grip（1－0，34）．Allowing White an uncontested stronghold on f6 was fatal： Black could have put up sterner resistance via $15 \ldots$ h 8 ！and $\ldots$ ．

14

|  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | exf6 | 0－0－0 |
| 16 | fxe7 |  |
|  | a4？！ |  |

17 a4？！
Schwarz＇ 17 留d3？！dFV p． 9 is no better than equal after $17 \ldots$ d4．The text is Moles＇recommendation．

```
17 ...
                            d4
18 a5
                            b5!
```

Several consecutive inaccuracies now make White＇s position critical： 19 a 6 ？（19


 Now McDonald ChessPublisbing．com，May 2004 and CHESS 69／5，August 2004，p． 47 suggests $23 \ldots$ c2 24 d2 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~g} 3$（干？）； much better is the immediate $23 \ldots$ g $\mathrm{g} 3!\mp \mp$ ．The game continued $23 \ldots$ 썽 f5？and $1 / 2-1 / 2,28$ ．

The critical line is 17 e3！，forestal－ ling ．．．d4．After $17 \ldots$ ．M for 18 算d1！the evaluation has been debated（＇with double－

edged play after $18 \ldots$ e5！？or $18 \ldots$ Hethebs！？＇McDonald；＇White refutes the enemy threats＇Khalifman）．Though there are indeed complications，the verdict must be that White is winning after either
 g3？！，Zapf via UltraCorr3，$\pm$ ）or $18 \ldots$ e5




## A．（from（1）） 12 今g3？！씁c5！

The only chance for survival：White is prevented from castling and faces an awkward problem placing the QB and more generally in developing．Opinions differ on how effective this is：＇ $12 \ldots$ ．M c 5 just might be playable＇，van der Tak New in Chess Yearbook 73，2004，pp．68－71； ＇Black＇s defence will be extremely diffi－ cult＇Khalifman OW A pp．169－70．
After 13 亿h5 癸f8 14 合f6＋遦d8 examples include：
a）Naiditsch－Luther，German $\mathrm{Ch}, \mathrm{Bad}$ Königshofen 2007： 15 畣 d3 $\hat{y}$ d7 16
 now instead of the game＇s $18 \ldots . \quad \mathrm{c} 6$ ？
 70 ，Black could have improved with 18 ．．．d7！，planning to meet 19 a3 with
 썽xf4＋with a perpetual，or 19 ghe e 2 with



b）de Silva－Neven，WC．T．2008．00001 corr 2007，followed the same course until Black varied with $18 \ldots$ ．．． 55 ！？and ended

 stead 19 a5 b5 20 是xf5 exf5 21 a3 seems to yield no advantage after $21 \ldots$

c）Morais－Prokopp，Foglar Veterans III GM－B corr 2007： 15 g4！？主ec6 16 h4 छd7 17 §xd7 \％xd7 and White＇s difficulty in arranging castling is no longer significant，while Black also has fewer prospects of counterplay down the g－and h－files．Play continued 18 M m d 3 Q b7 19 䈍h3 d4 $20 \mathrm{~h} 5 \pm$ and 1－0， 35.
d）Wiwe－Meessen，25th European Club Cup 2009 varied with（ 15 g 4 § Q ect $16 \mathrm{b4}$ ） $16 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 4$ ，which seems no improve－ ment after 17 邑h3 a6 18 h5 $\pm$ ；the game went 18 迢e3 xf1 19 酉xf1曾c4＋ 20 为g2 2 and after further com－ plications Black even won（0－1，35）．

## B．（from（1）） 12 亿 $\mathrm{i} \mathbf{d} 4$ ！

＇Not as strong as it looks＇，Moles，and indeed Black does well after all White tries but one．After $12 \ldots$ a6 13 䍐xa6 （not considered by Moles） $13 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathbf{i x a 6}$ ，

Black is fine after the older 14 \＆ b 5 ？
 van der Tak cites the major improve－ ment 140 00！planning a quick f5 before Black has time to complete develop－ ment．Rychagov－R．Lehtivaara，Hel－ sinki 1991 Informator 51／（289）continued $14 \ldots$ 亿． c 515 a 4 a 616 a3士 and $1-0$ ， 31，while Hellers－Sørensen，Copenha－ gen Open 1991 went instead 15 e3 a6
为b7 19 号b1 $\pm$ and 1－0， 34.

Subsequent practice has failed to pro－ vide Black with an adequate antidote． Guliyev－P．Lehtivaara，13th Corsican Open 2009 saw Black attempt to hold the f－pawn with（15 Se3 a6 16 ae1） 16 ．．．${ }^{\text {an }}$ f8？，but to no avail after 17 f5！0－0－0 （17 ．．．exf5 18 畧 $66 \pm \pm ; 17 \ldots$ ．씁xe5 18
 21 号 $\times f 7 \pm \pm$ ） 18 fxe6 fxe6 19 茴xf8号xf8 20 ，笑h6 $\pm \pm$（1－0，36）．And Roos－ Prokopp，Foglar Veterans III GM－B corr 2007 reaffirmed that Black has no real compensation if the pawn is given up，diverging from Hellers－Sørensen with $18 \ldots$ \＆ 55 ，but without success after 19
 $22 \mathrm{a} 4 \pm(1-0,34)$ ．
Conclusion：despite many interesting possibilities， $11 \ldots$ b6？is unsound．White can claim some advantage even with the older 12 ŋg 3 ？！，but best is 12 亿d4！a6
 tation．
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